

**Genesee County Consolidated Annual
Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER)
May 1, 2019 – April 30, 2020**



Program Year 2019

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan. 91.520(a)

This could be an overview that includes major initiatives and highlights that were proposed and executed throughout the program year.

During PY 2019, Genesee County Metropolitan Planning Commission (GCMPC) assisted local communities in completing 42 activities and worked on an additional 41 activities using Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program funds. Activity highlights include: infrastructure improvements including parking lot, sidewalk and street improvements, senior center improvements, demolitions, public service projects including senior center and youth program operations, rehabilitation of occupied single-family housing and down payment assistance. In PY 2019, local communities completed pre-demolition activities and demolished eight single-family structures, as well as one structure at a public park. 15 communities used their public service funds to assist with senior center operations in order to improve the services for seniors in Genesee County. 13 owner-occupied single-family residential units were rehabilitated utilizing CDBG funds during PY 2019.

In PY 2019, HOME funds were used to assist 15 senior citizen households with home repairs. In addition, Habitat for Humanity completed two new construction homes that were sold to low-income families, and began construction on two additional homes.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

Categories, priority levels, funding sources and amounts, outcomes/objectives, goal outcome indicators, units of measure, targets, actual outcomes/outputs, and percentage completed for each of the grantee's program year goals.

| Goal | Category | Source / Amount | Indicator | Unit of Measure | Expected – Strategic Plan | Actual – Strategic Plan | Percent Complete | Expected – Program Year | Actual – Program Year | Percent Complete |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------|--|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Address the Needs of Homeless and At-Risk Persons | Affordable Housing Homeless | ESG: \$ | Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing | Households Assisted | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------|--|------------------------|-----|------|-----------|------|------|---------|
| Address the Needs of Homeless and At-Risk Persons | Affordable Housing Homeless | ESG: \$ | Homeless Person Overnight Shelter | Persons Assisted | 65 | 1320 | 2,030.77% | 1000 | 1320 | 132.00% |
| Address the Needs of Homeless and At-Risk Persons | Affordable Housing Homeless | ESG: \$ | Overnight/Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing Beds added | Beds | 0 | 18 | | 150 | 18 | 12.00% |
| Address the Needs of Homeless and At-Risk Persons | Affordable Housing Homeless | ESG: \$ | Homelessness Prevention | Persons Assisted | 250 | 123 | 49.20% | | | |
| Address the Needs of Homeless and At-Risk Persons | Affordable Housing Homeless | ESG: \$ | Housing for Homeless added | Household Housing Unit | 0 | 0 | | 1 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Expand Employment Opportunities | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit | Persons Assisted | 60 | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Expand Employment Opportunities | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Businesses assisted | Businesses Assisted | 6 | 0 | 0.00% | | | |
| Improve Housing Conditions for Homeowners/Renters | Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs | CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$ | Homeowner Housing Added | Household Housing Unit | 0 | 0 | | 4 | 0 | 0.00% |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------|---|------------------------|-------|-------|--------|----|-------|------------|
| Improve Housing Conditions for Homeowners/Renters | Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs | CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$ | Homeowner Housing Rehabilitated | Household Housing Unit | 150 | 28 | 18.67% | 40 | 28 | 70.00% |
| Improve Housing Conditions for Homeowners/Renters | Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs | CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$ | Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing | Households Assisted | 0 | 8 | | 25 | 8 | 32.00% |
| Improve Housing Conditions for Homeowners/Renters | Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs | CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$ | Buildings Demolished | Buildings | 30 | 9 | 30.00% | 5 | 9 | 180.00% |
| Improve Housing Conditions for Homeowners/Renters | Affordable Housing Non-Homeless Special Needs | CDBG: \$ / HOME: \$ | Housing Code Enforcement/Foreclosed Property Care | Household Housing Unit | 2500 | 2225 | 89.00% | 20 | 2225 | 11,125.00% |
| Improve Public Facilities and Infrastructure | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit | Persons Assisted | 25000 | 17211 | 68.84% | 0 | 17211 | |

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------|---|------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| Improve Public Facilities and Infrastructure | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities for Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit | Households Assisted | 0 | 0 | | 10000 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Improve Public Facilities and Infrastructure | Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Buildings Demolished | Buildings | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Increase Homeownership | Affordable Housing | HOME: \$ | Homeowner Housing Added | Household Housing Unit | 10 | 2 | 20.00% | | | |
| Increase Homeownership | Affordable Housing | HOME: \$ | Direct Financial Assistance to Homebuyers | Households Assisted | 20 | 2 | 10.00% | | | |
| Promote Access to Public Services and Resources | Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Public Facility or Infrastructure Activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit | Persons Assisted | 0 | 0 | | 10000 | 0 | 0.00% |
| Promote Access to Public Services and Resources | Non-Homeless Special Needs Non-Housing Community Development | CDBG: \$ | Public service activities other than Low/Moderate Income Housing Benefit | Persons Assisted | 30000 | 14161 | 47.20% | 0 | 14161 | |

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

In GCMPC’s Consolidated Plan (ConPlan), staff outlined priorities and objectives to meet the three basic goal areas (Decent Housing, Suitable Living Environment and Economic Opportunities) set forth by HUD’s Community Planning and Development formula grants. During the ConPlan process, the community indicated that each of the statutory goal areas are equally important.

Decent Housing - Decent housing activities ensure decent, safe and sanitary housing, opportunities for homeownership, affordable rents and a range of housing choices and also includes preventing homelessness and ending chronic homelessness. The table below illustrates the primary goals included in the ConPlan under Decent Housing, and the activities and priority level.

In order to increase homeownership, Genesee County Habitat for Humanity (GCHFH) utilizes HOME funds to build homes for sale to qualified homebuyers. During PY 2019 two homes were completed and included accessibility features, and construction began on two more homes. GCMPC also provides funding to the Metro Community Development Corporation to provide TBRA services to qualified applicants. In PY 2019, funds were utilized to assist eight households, which included 12 adults and one child.

In an effort to improve housing conditions, CDBG and HOME funds were set-aside for the Home Improvement Program (HIP) which assists homeowners with necessary housing repairs. During PY 2019 staff assisted 28 homes. One jurisdiction utilized CDBG monies for salaries related to code enforcement in low- to moderate-income areas.

GCMPC works with Metro Community Development (MCD) and the Continuum of Care (CoC) to address the needs of homeless and at-risk persons through the CoC. During PY 2019, \$173,723 was utilized to assist 1,320 people with ESG funds, 559 were children. GCMPC provides funding to the Fair Housing Center of Eastern Michigan (FHC) to ensure residents can choose where they would like to live.

Suitable Living Environment - A suitable living environment is exemplified by a neighborhood with a healthy real estate market, attractive public amenities, a sense of safety and security and where residents are involved in neighborhood concerns. The table below illustrates the primary goals included in the ConPlan under Suitable Living Environment, and the activities and priority level. In an effort to promote access to public services and resources many communities chose to utilize their public service funds on their local senior centers. Many paid for a portion of staff

salaries (\$50,513), or funded operational expenses (\$38,302). Flint Township purchased food and supplies (\$12,937) for the senior food pantry.

Economic Opportunity - The goal of economic opportunities is to build economic power within the neighborhood by supporting the development of small businesses and assisting people with getting and keeping quality jobs. The table below illustrates the primary goals included in the ConPlan under Economic Opportunities, and the activities and priority level.

In the IDIS Goals and Outcomes Table above, there have been corrections made to the “Actual Program Year” column to accurately reflect accomplishments during program year 2019. This table does not generate properly due to multiple goals having the same indicators selected, and certain indicators being placed under the incorrect funding source. This causes accomplishment data to only generate under one of the selected goals, causing gaps in the table and inaccurate reporting from IDIS. There is also one goal identified in the Strategic Plan that is not identified in the Action Plan. All rows that have no data are indicators that are being reported under a different goal. Staff has recognized this issue, and has made sure that all goals and indicators for the future Strategic Plan and Annual Action Plans are set up properly in IDIS.

Table 1

| Decent Housing | |
|--|---|
| OUTCOME: Increase Homeownership | |
| High Priority | Activity: Housing Rehabilitation |
| High Priority | Activity: Energy Efficiency Improvements to Housing |
| High Priority | Activity: Accessibility/Barrier Free Improvements |
| Low Priority | Activity: Down Payment Assistance |
| Low Priority | Activity: Fair Housing |
| Low Priority | Activity: Production of New Units |
| OUTCOME: Improve Housing Conditions for Homeowners/Renters | |
| High Priority | Activity: Housing Rehabilitation |
| High Priority | Activity: Energy Efficiency Improvements to Housing |
| High Priority | Activity: Accessibility/Barrier Free Improvements |
| Low Priority | Activity: Code Enforcement |
| Low Priority | Activity: Demolition, Clearance and Remediation |
| OUTCOME: Address the Needs of Homeless and At-Risk Persons | |
| High Priority | Activity: Emergency Shelters |
| High Priority | Activity: Accessibility/Barrier Free Improvements |
| Low Priority | Activity: Public Facilities and Services |
| Low Priority | Activity: Permanent Supportive Housing |
| Low Priority | Activity: Supportive Services |
| Low Priority | Activity: Production of New Units |
| Low Priority | Activity: Fair Housing |
| Suitable Living Environment | |
| OUTCOME: Improve Public Facilities and Infrastructure | |
| High Priority | Activity: Street, Sidewalk and Water/Sewer Improvements |
| High Priority | Activity: Accessibility/Barrier Free Improvemnts |
| Low Priority | Activity: Public Facilities and Services |
| Low Priority | Activity: Parks, Recreation and Community Facilities |
| OUTCOME: Promote Access to Public Services and Resources | |
| Low Priority | Activity: Public Facilities and Services |
| Low Priority | Activity: Supportive Services |
| Economic Opportunities | |
| OUTCOME: Expand Employment Opportunities | |
| High Priority | Activity: Economic Development |
| Low Priority | Activity: Public Facilities and Services |
| OUTCOME: Promote Access to Public Services and Resources | |
| Low Priority | Activity: Public Facilities and Services |
| Low Priority | Activity: Fair Housing |

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

| | CDBG | HOME | ESG |
|---|---------------|-----------|--------------|
| White | 19,082 | 14 | 323 |
| Black or African American | 1,341 | 11 | 900 |
| Asian | 124 | 0 | 0 |
| American Indian or American Native | 51 | 0 | 0 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 20,603 | 25 | 1,223 |
| Hispanic | 154 | 0 | 54 |
| Not Hispanic | 20,449 | 25 | 1,266 |

Table 2 – Table of assistance to racial and ethnic populations by source of funds

Narrative

It is important to note that the above table reflects number of families assisted, not the number of individuals for CDBG and HOME. For ESG, data on number of families assisted was not available, so ESG is represented by individuals. This narrative will be used to describe the amount of individuals assisted, and the several mixed-race categories that are not included on the table. Of the 28,942 individuals assisted with any funding source during PY 2019, 91% are white. Over 90% of people assisted with CDBG funds and over 76% of those assisted with HOME funds are white. However, when looking strictly at ESG funds, the majority of individuals assisted (68%) are black. Just 00.01% of assisted individuals are Hispanic of any race. 12% of households assisted with TBRA funds are black.

The County's total population as of the 2010 Census indicates that 74.5% of the population is white, 20.7% black or African American and 3% Hispanic of any race. The numbers of assisted individuals should be close to the percentages of the County's total population.

Not included on the chart above are several mixed-race categories including "American Indian/Alaskan Native & White", "Black/African American & White", "Amer. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Amer.", "Asian & White" and "Other Multi-racial" for CDBG. There are 24 people that are American Indian/Alaskan Native & White, 22 people that are Black/African American & White, 5 people that are Amer. Indian/Alaskan Native & Black/African Amer., 2 people that are Asian & White, and 333 people that are Other Multi-racial. Because these categories are not included in the chart the actual total of people assisted is 28,942.

For ESG, there are also categories that are not included, being "Multiple Races", "Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused", and "Data Not Collected". There are 84 people that are multiple races, 8 people that are American Indian or Alaskan Native, and 5 people that are Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander. Because these categories are not included in the chart, the actual total of people assisted is 1,320.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

| Source of Funds | Source | Resources Made Available | Amount Expended During Program Year |
|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| CDBG | public - federal | 1,887,207 | 2,252,594 |
| HOME | public - federal | 867,589 | 639,485 |
| ESG | public - federal | 155,193 | 160,579 |

Table 3 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

GCMPC receives all Community Development Program funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. The resources made available amount for each Program includes PY 2019 funding, as well as any remaining funding in open contracts from previous program years. The amount expended was adjusted to reflect information provided in the PR23 reports for CDBG and HOME.

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

| Target Area | Planned Percentage of Allocation | Actual Percentage of Allocation | Narrative Description |
|-------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | |

Table 4 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

Genesee County does not have target areas for Community Development projects. GCMPC distributes funds to the 29 participating local units of government on an annual basis, with project selection being every three years, and projects are completed in each of these communities.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

An important aspect of funding Genesee County Community Development Program activities is the ability to leverage funds above and beyond those received from the Federal Government. Leveraged funds provide not only critically needed monetary supplements to projects, but also meet the local match requirements of many federal programs.

The HOME Program requires each funded activity to provide a minimum of 25% non-federal match. Due to this requirement, between 1992 and June 2005, GCMPC successfully leveraged a surplus of HOME Program match funds. HOME funds were used to leverage match from sources such as: donations of land; contributions of materials and services; waivers of local taxes for housing construction and rehabilitation; and, case donations from participating financial lending institutions. Since June 2005, Genesee County has adopted a formal policy waiving HOME match monies previously required from project awardees from all approved HOME activities. The 25% match requirement is provided for all HOME activities by Genesee County from the surplus match generated prior to June 2005.

While no longer required, HOME Program funds continue to leverage match funds from donated land, in-kind donations, other federal funding sources such as the Self-help Homeownership Opportunity Program (SHOP), and fundraising efforts by Community Development Housing Organizations (CHDOs). In PY 2019 Habitat for Humanity leveraged \$268,509, the majority of these funds were leveraged through local fundraising efforts, labor, and materials.

The CDBG Program leveraged funds associated with PY 2019. Leveraged resources include: in-kind contributions, Genesee County Senior Millage revenues, general funds provided by the local units of government and donations of local staff time, as well as grants from local charitable organizations.

The ESG Program leveraged funds with PY 2019 monies and a 100% match is a requirement of ESG funding. Leveraged resources included: in-kind contributions, general funds provided by the agencies and grants from local charitable organizations, such as Michigan Department of Health and Human Services, United Way, Department of Veterans Affairs, Salvation Army, Mott Foundation, and Individual Private Donors.

| Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match | |
|--|-----------|
| 1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year | 5,830,295 |
| 2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year | 268,510 |
| 3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2) | 6,098,805 |
| 4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year | 112,592 |
| 5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4) | 5,986,213 |

Table 5 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

| Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------|-------------|
| Project No. or Other ID | Date of Contribution | Cash (non-Federal sources) | Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges | Appraised Land/Real Property | Required Infrastructure | Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor | Bond Financing | Total Match |
| Habitat for Humanity | 10/16/2019 | 268,509 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,000 | 0 | 278,509 |

Table 6 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME MBE/WBE report

| Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period | | | | |
|---|---|---|--------------------------------|--|
| Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period \$ | Amount received during reporting period \$ | Total amount expended during reporting period \$ | Amount expended for TBRA \$ | Balance on hand at end of reporting period \$ |
| 243,217 | 40,321 | 48,040 | 45,956 | 235,497 |

Table 7 – Program Income

| Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period | | | | | | |
|---|--------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|
| | Total | Minority Business Enterprises | | | | White Non-Hispanic |
| | | Alaskan Native or American Indian | Asian or Pacific Islander | Black Non-Hispanic | Hispanic | |
| Contracts | | | | | | |
| Dollar Amount | 12,754 | 0 | 0 | 12,754 | 0 | 0 |
| Number | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Sub-Contracts | | | | | | |
| Number | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dollar Amount | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | Women Business Enterprises | Male | | | |
| Contracts | | | | | | |
| Dollar Amount | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Number | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Sub-Contracts | | | | | | |
| Number | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Dollar Amount | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |

Table 8 - Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

| Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|
| | Total | Minority Property Owners | | | | White Non-Hispanic |
| | | Alaskan Native or American Indian | Asian or Pacific Islander | Black Non-Hispanic | Hispanic | |
| Number | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dollar Amount | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 9 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

| Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|
| Parcels Acquired | | 0 | | 0 | | |
| Businesses Displaced | | 0 | | 0 | | |
| Nonprofit Organizations Displaced | | 0 | | 0 | | |
| Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced | | 0 | | 0 | | |
| Households Displaced | Total | Minority Property Enterprises | | | | White Non-Hispanic |
| | | Alaskan Native or American Indian | Asian or Pacific Islander | Black Non-Hispanic | Hispanic | |
| Number | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cost | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 10 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

| | One-Year Goal | Actual |
|--|---------------|-----------|
| Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units | 930 | 0 |
| Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units | 26 | 38 |
| Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units | 8 | 0 |
| Total | 964 | 38 |

Table 11 – Number of Households

| | One-Year Goal | Actual |
|--|---------------|-----------|
| Number of households supported through Rental Assistance | 5 | 8 |
| Number of households supported through The Production of New Units | 3 | 2 |
| Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units | 25 | 28 |
| Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 33 | 38 |

Table 12 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

More realistic goals would have been five affordable housing units provided to homeless households; twenty affordable housing units provided to non-homeless households; and, five affordable housing units to special-needs households. The numbers provided above were based on the thought that all households that may benefit from HUD grants would be included, not only those that were provided an affordable housing unit.

The Community Housing Development Organizations (CHDOs) that are awarded HOME funds changes from year to year, and different CHDOs have different housing goals. In the past we have worked with organizations that provide housing to homeless seniors and/or special-needs households, but during the past year, no organizations doing those activities were funded and therefore no units were provided to homeless or special-needs households. The goal of twenty affordable units for non-homeless households also relies primarily on CHDOs and the HOME funded TBRA Program. During the program year, eight households were provided TBRA assistance and construction began for two Habitat for Humanity homes.

GCMPC had ambitious goals in PY 2019. Staff utilize numbers from past performance to inform the one-year goals, but we increased the numbers slightly in order to push staff to work toward higher goals for specific activities. The goals are also affected by which CHDOs apply for, and are awarded, HOME Program funds.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

GCMPC staff estimates the number of units to be provided, and to whom, in the annual action plan. Staff will continue to base future goals off the needs of previous years, previous funding and the fluctuations in both.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

| Number of Households Served | CDBG Actual | HOME Actual |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Extremely Low-income | 5 | 15 |
| Low-income | 3 | 6 |
| Moderate-income | 5 | 4 |
| Total | 13 | 25 |

Table 13 – Number of Households Served

Narrative Information

In PY 2019, CDBG funds assisted 13 households, which served 34 people, with activities that required income to be determined. These 34 people were assisted through the Genesee County Home Improvement Program (HIP).

In PY 2019, HOME Program funds assisted 25 households with activities that require income to be determined. They were assisted through HOME HIP, TBRA, and Down Payment Assistance (DPA) funds.

It is important to note that home repairs for both HOME and CDBG funded Home Improvement Programs slowed significantly during the months of March and April 2020 due to COVID-19. Staff had to

put all scheduled appointments and repairs on hold, and refrain from accepting anymore applications through the program year.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

The One Stop Housing Resource Center strives to eliminate barriers for those served by providing services that meet the needs for the individual and family and enhances the community safety-net by placing service providers in one, easily accessible location. In addition to housing referrals, One Stop offers referrals for basic needs (food, clothing, personal care items, etc.), emergency services (bus passes, motel stays), screenings and inspections, Housing Choice Voucher program assessments, utility payments, security deposits and first month of rent payments, access to a permanent mailing address and access to an array of supportive services.

Staff at local ESG subrecipient agencies are especially skilled at engaging homeless persons and making appropriate referrals through their assessment abilities and excellent working relationships with other service providers. Many organizations in the community work together to identify and assist in homeless verification, assessment of needs and linkage to appropriate resources based on those needs.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

ESG funded agencies provide essential services to address individuals with both emergency and transitional housing needs. The programs are specifically designed to ensure the safety and well-being of both residential and non-residential individuals. Individual, family and group counseling as well as crisis intervention, life skills, education and employment assistance and family reunification are key components for those in need of the services. Many agencies have a long history in the community which has allowed them to establish excellent working relationships with other service providers and allows for cooperative service linkages with little delay or waiting periods.

A typical individual or family stays at a shelter for 30 to 90 days. Many of the agencies work with clients to resolve the issues that brought about their homelessness and develop a long-range plan toward permanent stability. The comprehensive approach used is intended to connect families to community resources and work on solving the root cause of their homelessness, with the end result being every client obtaining permanent housing.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that

address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

The One Stop Housing Resource Center, operated through Catholic Charities of Genesee & Shiawassee Counties, connects clients to specialized services. Individuals may call the One Stop phone number, or in-person at Catholic Charities' Center for Hope in Flint, and be guided to the resources available to them, including the following: BECKA Management (housing agent for Housing Choice Voucher Program), Department of Veteran's Affairs, Hamilton Health Clinic, Genesee Health System, Genesee Health Plan, Genesee Health Plan Bi-Lingual Services, Disability Network, YWCA and Shelter of Flint's Permanent Supportive Housing program.

GCCARD administers several programs that assist low-income clients, some of which help people avoid becoming homeless such as: assistance with utility bills; funds for deliverable fuels (propane, fuel oil, etc.); water shutoff assistance; rent and mortgage assistance; help enroll families in health care plans; installation of energy saving measures in low-income clients' homes, which allows them to pay for other emergency items like health care and transportation; funding for energy efficient appliances; emergency home repair program; regular food assistance for those 60 and over; and, emergency food program.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

Catholic Charities' Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) Program includes initiatives for chronically homeless families as well as veterans. Catholic Charities maintains a PSH Registry that holds a record of all their Emergency Shelter clients and their SPDAT score, as well as the same information for clients of other shelters that are referred. This registry allows the case managers to access this information efficiently in order to move clients from homelessness to permanent housing. For clients that are not eligible for permanent supportive housing, Catholic Charities' Housing Resource Specialist assists them in applying for a voucher.

GCCARD assists clients with security deposits and first month's rent which helps to overcome the initial cost barrier many homeless individuals or families may face. GCCARD also administers a program through HUD called the Samaritan Plus Program which involves tracking and overseeing clients with disabilities. These clients would likely be homeless without the rental assistance and oversight that they attend doctor appointments.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

All Public Housing activities in Genesee County are administered by the Flint Housing Commission. The Flint Housing Commission manages each of the public housing units that are located entirely within the City of Flint municipal boundaries.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

N/A

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

N/A

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Community resistance is one of the barriers to affordable housing and staff works regularly to inform and educate local officials of the need for safe, sanitary and affordable housing in their community by providing data that illustrates the number and percentage of residents in their community that could be in need of housing assistance.

While Michigan is a “home rule” state, which means individual communities make their own decisions on policies at the local level, such as zoning ordinances and housing policies, GCMPC staff reviews new master plans and master plan updates, as well as new zoning ordinances and proposed amendments to the zoning ordinance. This provides GCMPC with an opportunity to check that the proposed changes will not create additional barriers to affordable housing.

Due to “home rule”, the County has no legal standing to alter decisions made by the local units of government. GCMPC will continue to show communities the need for, and value of, access to affordable housing.

Based on information provided by organizations utilizing funding to create affordable housing, some barriers have been identified over the last couple of years. These barriers include specific zoning code provisions and permitting fees and tap-in charges that can add significant additional cost to projects aimed at providing affordable housing to those most in need.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Underserved populations in Genesee County include: persons paying in excess of 30% of their income towards rent and/or living in substandard housing; persons with physical disabilities; persons being discriminated against in housing, employment and transportation; and, homeless persons.

During PY 2019 Habitat for Humanity expended \$137,007 toward the new construction of two completed affordable, accessible housing units and starting the construction of two more. Habitat for Humanity includes accessibility features into all of their new builds, as well as energy efficiency measures to ensure that not only will the mortgage payment be affordable, but the cost of utilities will also remain low.

Genesee County’s Home Improvement Program (HIP) also contributes to stabilizing housing for underserved populations. Persons already paying a large portion of their income towards housing have even greater difficulty with improving or making repairs to their homes. The Home Improvement

Program allows them to remain in stable housing by ensuring homes are brought up to code, eliminating a major financial barrier to achieving safe living conditions.

The HOME funded Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) Program also helps underserved populations by providing rental assistance for up to two years, which allows those that struggle to find and afford shelter an opportunity to save money while working and/or going to school. Because employment or enrollment in employment training programs is a requirement for the program, it provides an incentive to become financially stable.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Genesee County requires that each housing unit funded with Community Development Program funds be inspected for the occurrence of lead-based paint prior to occupancy or rehabilitation. If lead-based products are present, interim controls and/or abatement measures are required in order for the eligible activities to utilize Genesee County CDBG or HOME Program funds. Each unit is inspected and cleared of lead when necessary.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

GCMPC staff continues to encourage Section 3 business enterprises to apply for contract opportunities funded with CDBG and HOME Program funds. To the extent feasible, GCMPC also enforces the Section 3 requirement on construction projects over \$100,000. These efforts encourage hiring and training for low-income workers.

Public service funds through CDBG provide assistance to senior centers, some of which utilize funds to stock a food pantry for low-income seniors. This assistance lessens the burden on those that are struggling in poverty.

The HOME funded Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) program helps families in need with rental assistance for up to two years. This type of assistance can have a great impact on a poverty-level family to find stable housing and focus their efforts and limited resources on other priorities. During PY 2019 eight families were assisted through the TBRA Program, including one with children.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

During PY 2019, GCMPC worked with an array of partners to execute activities outlined in the 2015 – 2019 Consolidated Plan. Within these partnerships, community projects were developed, maintained and furthered by GCMPC staff.

Staff continued to encourage the formation of new and innovative partnerships in efforts to leverage additional resources for the CDBG and HOME Programs. Genesee County worked with its partners to assure that both sides were able to contribute in a productive way. GCMPC staff held small working

meetings in order to provide individualized technical assistance where necessary.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

During PY 2019, GCMPC staff regularly attended Continuum of Care (CoC) meetings, and had regular communications with entities providing services such as the Flint Housing Commission, emergency shelters, rapid re-housing, realtors, Legal Services of Eastern Michigan and Metro Community Development among others.

In addition, staff attended many county-wide CoC events and trainings. These events offer the opportunity to provide information to residents but also to engage with other housing and social service agencies. It provides an opportunity to compare notes and see how we may be able to help each other, collaborate, offer referrals, and/or leverage funds. Beginning in March 2020, the CoC hosted a COVID-19 Check-in call three days per week, allowing emergency shelters, local government officials, and social service agencies to discuss strategies for keeping homeless individuals safe during the pandemic. As a result, new partnerships were formed to help the homeless community, including a project to house individuals in a local hotel to help maintain distancing at emergency shelters.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

TESTING - Legal Services of Eastern Michigan (LSEM) is Genesee County's contractual partner responsible for managing the Fair Housing Center. LSEM's Fair Housing Center is funded in part by GCMPC CDBG funds. In PY 2019, LSEM conducted 96 paired tests in 14 municipalities throughout Genesee County (not counting the City of Flint), including 78 rental, 12 sales, and 6 lending tests. The results of the testing comprised of 35 inconclusive, 47 were negative, and 14 had positive tests. Inconclusive test results show evidence of discrimination or preferential treatment toward the Comparison (non-protected tester) and therefore is scheduled for additional testing. LSEM received 1,015 community housing complaints in Genesee County, of which 17 were reasonable accommodation or modification complaints.

COMMUNITY EDUCATION - LSEM's Fair Housing Center continued to promote fair housing by partnering in the annual Fair Housing Conference (Canceled in 2019 due to Covid-19); however, a considerable number of fair housing presentations were conducted, in person and online. Presentations included training to the faculty of the Education and Linguistic Department at University of Michigan, along with a number of classrooms covering topics of fair housing, linguistic profiling, and implicit bias. The Center also did a number of community presentations including property management training. Michigan Department of Civil Rights and the Center together did a fair housing presentation for members of the deaf community, family members, and agencies supporting the deaf population.

SYSTEMIC INVESTIGATIONS - LSEM Fair Housing Center staff completed a number of Systemic

Investigations (SI) in PY 2019. An SI places the treatment of a selected protected class under a microscope to discover discriminatory practices related to that protected class. The results of the SI projects provide information on which sites should have onsite testing.

Linguistic Racial Profiling and Criminal History - The project design is for two 3-person teams, one male and one female team, to call apartments in Genesee County to obtain availability information. Two of the three testers, on each team, indicated they had a felony conviction. The voices had to reach a 70% threshold of identifying to the correct race. One Black tester did not mention a criminal record (Tester 1) while the other Black tester specified they had a Class E felony of Receiving Stolen Property of greater than \$1,000 but less than \$20,000 that is 8 years old (Tester 2), and finally, the White tester indicated having a Class E felony but this will be for Retail Fraud greater than \$1,000 that is only 7 years old (Tester 3). These testers were trained on the details of the information to be used and the process of the testing. The results were mixed from not allowing anyone with a felony conviction to accepting those with a felony conviction. However, it was noticeable that on a number of occasions the White tester with a felony conviction had more favorable treatment than the Black tester with no felony conviction.

Testing with the Deaf Community - Calls were made by two-testers, a Protected Tester and a Comparison Tester. The Protected Tester called to obtain information for her daughter who has hearing difficulty. Under this calling profile, the Protected Tester asked the agent if they would be a “okay” receiving a call from her deaf daughter using a relay system. The Comparison Tester called to request information for herself. Each tester was looking to obtain as much general information about a rental opportunity as possible e.g. if a unit was available; if not, when a unit would be available; rental rate; security deposit; income restrictions; income guidelines; lease term options, etc. The systemic investigation exposed degrees of inconsistent information, favoring the Comparison Tester over the Protected Tester, during the investigation which includes the following:

- Housing agents presented more units available for rent to the Comparison Tester
- A small percentage of housing sites were agreeable to utilizing a relay system to communicate with the disabled caller
- Housing agents presented higher rental rates to the Protected Tester
- Housing agents presented more lease options to the Comparison Tester
- Housing agents presented more amenity features to the Comparison Tester
- The Protected Tester was hung-up on and had call back attempts go unanswered

Source of Income Testing - The purpose of this systemic investigation was to conduct an analysis of any advertising restrictions, creating a disparate impact on gender for those recipients of the Housing Choice Voucher (HCV). A two-month review of local advertising on platforms such as Facebook Marketplace, Craigslist, Trulia, and Zillow for advertisements that deter HCV recipients from applying or renting. Examples of the terms or phrase being searched for include but are not limited to: “No Section 8”, “No Housing Choice Vouchers”, or “Section 8 Not Accepted”. The rental search found fifty-two (52) properties in Genesee County that prohibited HCV recipients. Language in these listings included the following:

- “No Section 8”
- “Section 8 not accepted”
- “Section 8 NOT approved here”
- “Sorry, SECTION 8 is not approved here”
- “SECITON 8 NOT Welcome”

Sexual Orientation in Housing - On May 21, 2018, the Michigan Department of Civil Rights (MDCR) voted five to zero to issue an interpretative statement clarifying that sexual orientation and gender identity are protected under the states Elliot Larsen Civil Rights Act. Michigan’s interpretation of existing laws means that the states fair housing act includes protections for LGBTQ people from being unfairly evicted, denied housing, or refused the ability to rent or buy housing on the basis of their sexual orientation or gender identity. Therefore, LSEM conducted a series of phone tests to determine whether or not sexual orientation discrimination is taking place in Genesee County. One tester identified, at least three times, during the call that he is looking to move into a unit with his husband. The other tester identified that he is looking to move into a unit with his wife. The basis for determining if discrimination occurred was if the testers were provided different terms, conditions, or privileges, availability options, treatment with respect to additional contact or information, and more. The testing data shows that sexual orientation discrimination is taking place in Genesee County at least 23% of the time where the testers called using the outlined scenarios.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

GCMPC staff continued to implement a monitoring policy designed to ensure that all CDBG, HOME, and ESG program funds received by subrecipients were utilized in a way that complies with all CPD grant requirements. Three primary goals have been established as a basis for all monitoring visits: 1) to evaluate project performance; 2) to ensure accountability for all funds expended; and, 3) to ensure that applicable CDP and other federal and state requirements are being met.

The process for monitoring the Genesee County CDBG Program during PY 2019, as in each program year, began at the time any procurement activity was initiated, work started, and whenever any CDBG funds were expended on a project. Once a CDBG project has begun, each subrecipient must include a Performance Report with any request for funding reimbursement. Regular site visits were conducted by GCMPC staff for the purpose of evaluating progress, ensuring compliance, and providing technical assistance where necessary.

GCMPC distributed a Minority Business Enterprise/Women Business Enterprise/ Handicap Business Enterprise directory that is included in all bid packets, as well as being given to the local units of government with applicable projects. Staff worked with the communities to make sure minority businesses were properly contacted and encouraged to submit bids for contractor and subcontractor positions.

For all construction projects, monitoring began prior to bids being solicited and continued on a regular basis until the projects were completed. Meetings were held with each subrecipient to discuss outstanding issues and technical assistance was provided by GCMPC staff whenever needed. On-site visits were conducted to evaluate project progress and to determine compliance with applicable regulations, such as prevailing wage under the Davis-Bacon Act. During these visits, GCMPC staff conducted interviews and observed employees' work duties to ensure compliance.

Results of on-site visits were reviewed and compared to desk reviews of wage decisions, payrolls, and other labor standards documentation. Desk reviews were also conducted for all public service and non-construction projects at the time requests for reimbursement were received, in order to ensure proper documentation of all costs incurred.

Whenever occurrences of compliance issues were determined, GCMPC staff issued a written statement to the subrecipient addressing all concerns. Subrecipients were required to address and correct issues.

During PY 2019, as in each program year, rehabilitation activities are monitored throughout project phases, and annually throughout the applicable periods of affordability. For the single-family housing rehabilitation projects, staff conducted pre-bid and pre-construction meetings with each contractor,

attended construction draw meetings, and monitored daily activities throughout the rehabilitation phases and through the reimbursement request and desk review process.

In January and February of 2020, on-site monitoring was conducted for CDBG funded projects in 2017 and 2018 for all participating local units of government and agencies. Staff visited each office to ensure that all project documentation is properly saved and filed, and all procurement procedures are followed for projects. Staff also examined financial management systems and conflict of interest policies. Overall, subrecipients are successful in carrying out all proper CDBG rules and procedures. Any findings or concerns addressed during file monitoring are corrected in a timely matter.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

As outlined in Genesee County's Citizen Participation Plan, and based on HUD's regulations, GCMPC staff puts the CAPER out for public comment for 15 days. During the public comment period, a public hearing is held. Notices of performance reports are published in the Flint Journal, a local minority newspaper, on GCMPC's website, and sent to GCMPC's public participation list. Printed copies are available to the public at the GCMPC offices' front desk upon request and appointment (Due to COVID), and the offices of all local units of government in the County. Comment sheets are included with all items to help streamline feedback from the community.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction’s program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

After each program year GCMPC staff evaluates the Community Development Program and discusses changes that may make the program run more effectively and efficiently. During PY 2017, staff began an investigation of communities within Michigan and across the country comparable in terms of HUD allocation amounts and population in an effort to develop changes that may enhance the impact of CDBG funding and alleviate administrative burden to local units of government. Staff found that no one model best fits every community, and every community tailors its programs to meet its specific needs. That said, several changes had been proposed to the County Board that have increased the amount of residents served with CDBG funding, helped the local units of government in their planning efforts, while also alleviating administrative burden. These changes have been determined to be appropriate and have support from the local units of government, and have been implemented in PY 2019. Some of the changes were already implemented in PY 2018, for example there was a pre-application process for all projects in the years 2019-2021. The pre-application allowed local units of government, along with our staff, to be thinking about what projects they could do before the actual applications were submitted.

Does this Jurisdiction have any open Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) grants?

No

[BEDI grantees] Describe accomplishments and program outcomes during the last year.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

Please list those projects that should have been inspected on-site this program year based upon the schedule in §92.504(d). Indicate which of these were inspected and a summary of issues that were detected during the inspection. For those that were not inspected, please indicate the reason and how you will remedy the situation.

HOME assisted rental units are inspected every three years on-site to ensure compliance. The last inspection was during PY 2017. TBRA units are inspected on a regular basis, as clients and units are identified. The inspections include an HQS inspection and any deficiencies must be corrected by the property management company prior to an agreement for rental payments being executed. TBRA clients can utilize rental assistance funding for up to two years, and when necessary rehab staff re-inspects units prior to the second year lease signing or inspects another unit if the client decides to move locations.

During FY 2019 staff requested that all HOME-funded rental complexes submit current profit and loss statements and cash flow statements to ensure their financial viability. Staff also provided each complex with the Fair Market Rent amounts for 2019 to reaffirm that all rental units assisted with HOME funds are in compliance with HUD regulations. During FY 2020, once COVID-19 restrictions have been lifted, staff will conduct on-site monitoring visits to all HOME rental units to ensure compliance with HOME Rules. Currently, in-person HQS inspections of HOME units have been waived until 12/31/2020.

Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units.

92.351(b)

After HOME funds have been awarded and construction is underway, staff works with CHDOs to develop an affirmative marketing plan. Staff reviews the plan submitted by the agency and will make suggestions and require changes as needed. The agency and staff will work together until an acceptable affirmative marketing plan is complete. Staff continues to monitor the actions of the agency to ensure they are following their plan. The plans include how outreach will be provided to home seekers so that all age groups, racial and ethnic backgrounds, genders, disability and marital status groups have equal opportunities to access the affordable housing activity.

Refer to IDIS reports to describe the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

In PY 2019 GCMPC received \$46,159 in program income. All program income received during the PY was from loan payoffs from the Home Improvement Program (HIP) or the Down Payment Assistance Program. These funds are returned to HIP to continue activities to assist other homeowners with

necessary repairs to their homes.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

Genesee County took actions to foster and maintain affordable housing by investing CDBG and HOME Program funds in high priority projects that served single-family homeowners and homebuyers. Over 95% of CPD Grant Program funds allocated to Genesee County are provided to projects and activities that serve the low- to moderate-income and/or homeless populations of the County.

As energy costs have risen significantly recently, the cost to remain current on energy bills has become a barrier to keeping housing-related costs affordable. Many low and moderate income homeowners purchased homes when energy costs were much lower and established budgeting according to the average cost at the time they purchased their homes. Combining high energy costs with a house payment has proven burdensome for many households. The Genesee County Home Improvement Program (HIP) utilized CDBG and HOME Program funds to assist in providing energy efficiency improvements, including windows and insulation, in conjunction with other safety, barrier-free, and structural improvements to single-family homes and affordable housing projects.

Habitat for Humanity utilized HOME Program funds to create new affordable housing that is very energy efficient which will keep housing-related costs low for low- to moderate-income families. Habitat installs all Energy Star rated appliances (stove, refrigerator, washer and dryer) and water-sense fixtures to help with energy efficiency. Habitat utilizes a basement system with foam built into the walls and, when feasible, 2x6 walls are constructed both of which allow for more insulation and reduced utility costs.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

| | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| Recipient Name | GENESEE COUNTY |
| Organizational DUNS Number | 078404738 |
| EIN/TIN Number | 386004849 |
| Identify the Field Office | DETROIT |

CAPER

31

Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance

ESG Contact Name

| | |
|-------------|------------------|
| Prefix | Mrs |
| First Name | SHEILA |
| Middle Name | 0 |
| Last Name | TAYLOR |
| Suffix | 0 |
| Title | Division Manager |

ESG Contact Address

| | |
|------------------|------------------------------|
| Street Address 1 | 1101 Beach St |
| Street Address 2 | Room 223 |
| City | Flint |
| State | MI |
| ZIP Code | - |
| Phone Number | 8107666547 |
| Extension | 0 |
| Fax Number | 8102573185 |
| Email Address | staylor2@geneseecountymi.gov |

ESG Secondary Contact

| | |
|---------------|------------------------------|
| Prefix | Mr |
| First Name | Damon |
| Last Name | Fortney |
| Suffix | 0 |
| Title | Lead |
| Phone Number | 8107666560 |
| Extension | 0 |
| Email Address | dfortney@geneseecountymi.gov |

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

| | |
|-------------------------|------------|
| Program Year Start Date | 05/01/2019 |
| Program Year End Date | 04/30/2020 |

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name

City

State

Zip Code

DUNS Number

Is subrecipient a victim services provider

Subrecipient Organization Type

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount

CR-65 - Persons Assisted

4. Persons Served

4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

| Number of Persons in Households | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| Adults | |
| Children | |
| Don't Know/Refused/Other | |
| Missing Information | |
| Total | |

Table 16 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

| Number of Persons in Households | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| Adults | |
| Children | |
| Don't Know/Refused/Other | |
| Missing Information | |
| Total | |

Table 17 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

4c. Complete for Shelter

| Number of Persons in Households | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------|
| Adults | |
| Children | |
| Don't Know/Refused/Other | |
| Missing Information | |
| Total | |

Table 18 – Shelter Information

4d. Street Outreach

| Number of Persons in Households | Total |
|--|--------------|
| Adults | |
| Children | |
| Don't Know/Refused/Other | |
| Missing Information | |
| Total | |

Table 19 – Household Information for Street Outreach

4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

| Number of Persons in Households | Total |
|--|--------------|
| Adults | |
| Children | |
| Don't Know/Refused/Other | |
| Missing Information | |
| Total | |

Table 20 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

| | Total |
|--------------------------|--------------|
| Male | |
| Female | |
| Transgender | |
| Don't Know/Refused/Other | |
| Missing Information | |
| Total | |

Table 21 – Gender Information

6. Age—Complete for All Activities

| | Total |
|--------------------------|--------------|
| Under 18 | |
| 18-24 | |
| 25 and over | |
| Don't Know/Refused/Other | |
| Missing Information | |
| Total | |

Table 22 – Age Information

7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

Number of Persons in Households

| Subpopulation | Total | Total Persons Served – Prevention | Total Persons Served – RRH | Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| Veterans | | | | |
| Victims of Domestic Violence | | | | |
| Elderly | | | | |
| HIV/AIDS | | | | |
| Chronically Homeless | | | | |
| Persons with Disabilities: | | | | |
| Severely Mentally Ill | | | | |
| Chronic Substance Abuse | | | | |
| Other Disability | | | | |
| Total (unduplicated if possible) | | | | |

Table 23 – Special Population Served

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Number of New Units - Rehabbed | 0 |
| Number of New Units - Conversion | 18 |
| Total Number of bed-nights available | 61,725 |
| Total Number of bed-nights provided | 50,738 |
| Capacity Utilization | 82.2% |

Table 24 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

The Continuum of Care’s lead agency, Metro Community Development, has approved performance standards and methods for evaluating outcomes already in place. Metro Community Development is the agency that handles the administration of HMIS for the Continuum of Care, with funding policies and procedures already established.

Challenges to providing services include maintaining funding for sufficient staff. Trying to eliminate homelessness requires a concerted effort in street outreach with face to face engagement, direct connection to resources, and near continuous ongoing contact to maintain a support system of caring adults. Without sufficient staff the effort is fragmented, inconsistent and far less effective. Unemployment in the area, insufficient housing, and an unskilled labor force for the jobs available remain significant barriers to eliminating homelessness. Community strategies, systems of support through our ESG partner agencies, and shared resources remain our best defense to reduce homelessness.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

| | Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year | | |
|---|---|---------------|---------------|
| | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Expenditures for Rental Assistance | 12,869 | 11,807 | 22,673 |
| Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal Homelessness Prevention | 12,869 | 11,807 | 22,673 |

Table 25 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

| | Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year | | |
|---|---|---------------|---------------|
| | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Expenditures for Rental Assistance | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services | 6,864 | 16,463 | 24,629 |
| Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing | 6,864 | 16,463 | 24,629 |

Table 26 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

| | Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year | | |
|--------------------|---|--------|--------|
| | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Essential Services | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operations | 62,367 | 69,445 | 94,285 |
| Renovation | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Major Rehab | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Conversion | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 62,367 | 69,445 | 94,285 |

Table 27 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

| | Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year | | |
|-----------------|---|-------|--------|
| | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| Street Outreach | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HMIS | 9,665 | 5,793 | 7,597 |
| Administration | 11,246 | 2,250 | 11,395 |

Table 28 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

| Total ESG Funds Expended | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 103,011 | 105,758 | 160,579 |

Table 29 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

| | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Other Non-ESG HUD Funds | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Federal Funds | 149,000 | 116,576 | 89,043 |
| State Government | 47,027 | 52,000 | 52,000 |
| Local Government | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Private Funds | 75,000 | 184,125 | 87,362 |
| Other | 30,050 | 11,250 | 28,867 |
| Fees | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Program Income | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Match Amount | 301,077 | 363,951 | 257,272 |

Table 30 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

| Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| | 404,088 | 469,709 | 417,851 |

Table 31 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

HUD ESG CAPER FY2020

Grant: **ESG: Genesee County - MI - Report** Type: **CAPER**

Report Date Range

5/1/2019 to 4/30/2020

Q01a. Contact Information

First name Damon
 Middle name
 Last name Fortney
 Suffix
 Title
 Street Address 1 1101 Beach St
 Street Address 2 Room 223
 City Flint
 State Michigan
 ZIP Code 48502
 E-mail Address dfortney@co.genesee.mi.us
 Phone Number (810)766-6560
 Extension
 Fax Number

Q01b. Grant Information

As of 5/29/2020

ESG Information from IDIS

| Fiscal Year | Grant Number | Current Authorized Amount | Total Drawn | Balance | Obligation Date | Expenditure Deadline |
|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| 2020 | | | | | | |
| 2019 | E19UC260001 | \$155,193.00 | \$63,909.26 | \$91,283.74 | 8/27/2019 | 8/27/2021 |
| 2018 | E18UC260001 | \$151,935.00 | \$151,934.96 | \$.04 | 8/9/2018 | 8/9/2020 |
| 2017 | E17UC260001 | \$154,500.00 | \$154,465.56 | \$34.44 | 9/22/2017 | 9/22/2019 |
| 2016 | E16UC260001 | \$154,654.00 | \$154,653.05 | \$.95 | 8/22/2016 | 8/22/2018 |
| 2015 | E15UC260001 | \$150,818.00 | \$150,818.00 | \$0 | 7/17/2015 | 7/17/2017 |
| 2014 | E14UC260001 | \$142,256.00 | \$142,256.00 | \$0 | 6/2/2014 | 6/2/2016 |
| 2013 | E13UC260001 | \$120,990.00 | \$120,988.59 | \$1.41 | 12/12/2013 | 12/12/2015 |
| 2012 | | | | | | |
| 2011 | | | | | | |
| Total | | \$1,030,346.00 | \$939,025.42 | \$91,320.58 | | |

CAPER reporting includes funds used from fiscal year:

Project types carried out during the program year:

Enter the number of each type of projects funded through ESG during this program year.

| | |
|---|---|
| Street Outreach | 0 |
| Emergency Shelter | 4 |
| Transitional Housing (grandfathered under ES) | 0 |
| Day Shelter (funded under ES) | 0 |
| Rapid Re-Housing | 1 |
| Homelessness Prevention | 2 |

Q01c. Additional Information

HMIS

Comparable Database

| | |
|--|-----|
| Are 100% of the project(s) funded through ESG, which are allowed to use HMIS, entering data into HMIS? | Yes |
| Have all of the projects entered data into Sage via a CSV - CAPER Report upload? | Yes |
| Are 100% of the project(s) funded through ESG, which are allowed to use a comparable database, entering data into the comparable database? | Yes |
| Have all of the projects entered data into Sage via a CSV - CAPER Report upload? | Yes |

Q04a: Project Identifiers in HMIS

| Organization Name | Organization ID | Project Name | Project ID | HMIS Project Type | Method for Tracking ES | Affiliated with a residential project | Project IDs of affiliations | CoC Number | Geocode | Victim Service Provider | HMIS Software Name | Report Start Date | Report End Date | CSV Exception? | Uploaded via emailed hyperlink? |
|---|-----------------|---|------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| MDHHS - Genesee County Youth Corporation (GCYC)-Flint-HYR Services Only-DHS | 482 | MDHHS - GCYC-REACH-Genesee COC-Flint-BCC Shelter-HHS, DHS, HUD, MSHDA ESG | 8275 | 1 | 0 | | | MI-505 | 269049 | 0 | ServicePoint | 2019-05-01 | 2020-04-30 | No | Yes |
| Shelter of Flint (SoF) - Genesee/Flint CoC - HARA Screenings | 412 | MDHHS - SoF - Genesee/Flint CoC - Emergency Program - MSHDA ESG (DHS) ESP | 1295 | 1 | 0 | | | MI-505 | 262172 | 0 | ServicePoint | 2019-05-01 | 2020-04-30 | No | Yes |
| My Brother's Keeper - Genesee CoC | 3726 | MDHHS - MBK - Genesee CoC- Shelter | 4450 | 1 | 0 | | | MI-505 | 262172 | 0 | ServicePoint | 2019-05-01 | 2020-04-30 | No | Yes |
| YWCA of Greater Flint | 38-1360597 | Safe House | MSHDA DV | 1 | 0 | 0 | MSHDA DV | MI-505 | 26904 | 1 | EmpowerDB | 2019-05-01 | 2020-04-30 | No | Yes |
| Genesee County Community Action Resource Department (GCCARD) - FLINT | 413 | GCCARD - County of Genesee - ESG | 10273 | 12 | | | | MI-505 | 262172 | 0 | ServicePoint | 2019-05-01 | 2020-04-30 | No | Yes |

Q05a: Report Validations Table

| | |
|---|------|
| Total Number of Persons Served | 1320 |
| Number of Adults (Age 18 or Over) | 761 |
| Number of Children (Under Age 18) | 559 |
| Number of Persons with Unknown Age | 0 |
| Number of Leavers | 1233 |
| Number of Adult Leavers | 712 |
| Number of Adult and Head of Household Leavers | 748 |
| Number of Stayers | 87 |
| Number of Adult Stayers | 49 |
| Number of Veterans | 46 |
| Number of Chronically Homeless Persons | 193 |
| Number of Youth Under Age 25 | 121 |
| Number of Parenting Youth Under Age 25 with Children | 39 |
| Number of Adult Heads of Household | 723 |
| Number of Child and Unknown-Age Heads of Household | 36 |
| Heads of Households and Adult Stayers in the Project 365 Days or More | 0 |

Q06a: Data Quality: Personally Identifying Information (PII)

| Data Element | Client Doesn't Know/Refused | Information Missing | Data Issues | Total | % of Error Rate |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------|-----------------|
| Name | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % |
| Social Security Number | 47 | 355 | 58 | 460 | 34.85 % |
| Date of Birth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % |
| Race | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % |
| Ethnicity | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % |
| Gender | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % |
| Overall Score | | | | 460 | 34.85 % |

Q06b: Data Quality: Universal Data Elements

| | Error Count | % of Error Rate |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Veteran Status | 182 | 23.92 % |
| Project Start Date | 0 | 0.00 % |
| Relationship to Head of Household | 3 | 0.23 % |
| Client Location | 0 | 0.00 % |
| Disabling Condition | 0 | 0.00 % |

Q06c: Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality

| | Error Count | % of Error Rate |
|---|-------------|-----------------|
| Destination | 0 | 0.00 % |
| Income and Sources at Start | 1 | 0.13 % |
| Income and Sources at Annual Assessment | 0 | -- |
| Income and Sources at Exit | 1 | 0.13 % |

Q06d: Data Quality: Chronic Homelessness

| | Count of Total Records | Missing Time in Institution | Missing Time in Housing | Approximate Date Started DK/R/missing | Number of Times DK/R/missing | Number of Months DK/R/missing | % of Records Unable to Calculate |
|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| ES, SH, Street Outreach | 734 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % |
| TH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| PH (All) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Total | 734 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 % |

Q06e: Data Quality: Timeliness

| | Number of Project Start Records | Number of Project Exit Records |
|-----------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 0 days | 669 | 586 |
| 1-3 Days | 297 | 304 |
| 4-6 Days | 107 | 78 |
| 7-10 Days | 23 | 36 |
| 11+ Days | 185 | 229 |

Q06f: Data Quality: Inactive Records: Street Outreach & Emergency Shelter

| | # of Records | # of Inactive Records | % of Inactive Records |
|--|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Contact (Adults and Heads of Household in Street Outreach or ES - NBN) | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Bed Night (All Clients in ES - NBN) | 0 | 0 | -- |

Q07a: Number of Persons Served

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Adults | 761 | 524 | 237 | 0 | 0 |
| Children | 559 | 0 | 520 | 39 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/ Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1320 | 524 | 757 | 39 | 0 |
| For PSH & RRH – the total persons served who moved into housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Q08a: Households Served

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|--|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Total Households | 759 | 513 | 210 | 36 | 0 |
| For PSH & RRH – the total households served who moved into housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Q08b: Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---------|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| January | 69 | 45 | 21 | 3 | 0 |
| April | 51 | 37 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| July | 65 | 41 | 23 | 1 | 0 |
| October | 72 | 51 | 19 | 2 | 0 |

Q09a: Number of Persons Contacted

| | All Persons Contacted | First contact – NOT staying on the Streets, ES, or SH | First contact – WAS staying on Streets, ES, or SH | First contact – Worker unable to determine |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| Once | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2-5 Times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6-9 Times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10+ Times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Persons Contacted | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Q09b: Number of Persons Engaged

| | All Persons Contacted | First contact – NOT staying on the Streets, ES, or SH | First contact – WAS staying on Streets, ES, or SH | First contact – Worker unable to determine |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| Once | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2-5 Contacts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6-9 Contacts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10+ Contacts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Persons Engaged | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rate of Engagement | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Q10a: Gender of Adults

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | Unknown Household Type |
|---|-------|------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Male | 382 | 356 | 26 | 0 |
| Female | 379 | 168 | 211 | 0 |
| Trans Female (MTF or Male to Female) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trans Male (FTM or Female to Male) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. not exclusively male or female) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 761 | 524 | 237 | 0 |

Q10b: Gender of Children

| | Total | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---|-------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Male | 265 | 248 | 17 | 0 |
| Female | 294 | 272 | 22 | 0 |
| Trans Female (MTF or Male to Female) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trans Male (FTM or Female to Male) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. not exclusively male or female) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 559 | 520 | 39 | 0 |

Q10c: Gender of Persons Missing Age Information

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Male | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Female | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trans Female (MTF or Male to Female) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trans Male (FTM or Female to Male) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. not exclusively male or female) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Q10d: Gender by Age Ranges

| | Total | Under Age 18 | Age 18-24 | Age 25-61 | Age 62 and over | Client Doesn't Know/ Client Refused | Data Not Collected |
|---|-------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Male | 647 | 265 | 32 | 306 | 44 | 0 | 0 |
| Female | 673 | 294 | 70 | 304 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Trans Female (MTF or Male to Female) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Trans Male (FTM or Female to Male) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gender Non-Conforming (i.e. not exclusively male or female) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 1320 | 559 | 102 | 610 | 49 | 0 | 0 |

Q11: Age

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|------------------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Under 5 | 208 | 0 | 207 | 1 | 0 |
| 5 - 12 | 246 | 0 | 238 | 8 | 0 |
| 13 - 17 | 105 | 0 | 75 | 30 | 0 |
| 18 - 24 | 102 | 50 | 52 | 0 | 0 |
| 25 - 34 | 208 | 93 | 115 | 0 | 0 |
| 35 - 44 | 156 | 107 | 49 | 0 | 0 |
| 45 - 54 | 154 | 134 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 55 - 61 | 92 | 91 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 62+ | 49 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1320 | 524 | 757 | 39 | 0 |

Q12a: Race

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| White | 323 | 199 | 113 | 11 | 0 |
| Black or African American | 900 | 301 | 577 | 22 | 0 |
| Asian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 8 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Multiple Races | 84 | 19 | 59 | 6 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1320 | 524 | 757 | 39 | 0 |

Q12b: Ethnicity

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|------------------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino | 1266 | 510 | 722 | 34 | 0 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 54 | 14 | 35 | 5 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1320 | 524 | 757 | 39 | 0 |

Q13a1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Start

| | Total Persons | Without Children | Adults in HH with Children & Adults | Children in HH with Children & Adults | With Children and Adults ☺ | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Mental Health Problem | 253 | 175 | 41 | 12 | -- | 25 | 0 |
| Alcohol Abuse | 26 | 24 | 2 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Drug Abuse | 24 | 16 | 4 | 0 | -- | 4 | 0 |
| Both Alcohol and Drug Abuse | 34 | 29 | 1 | 0 | -- | 4 | 0 |
| Chronic Health Condition | 70 | 44 | 9 | 15 | -- | 2 | 0 |
| HIV/AIDS | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Developmental Disability | 58 | 21 | 7 | 27 | -- | 3 | 0 |
| Physical Disability | 192 | 134 | 27 | 28 | -- | 3 | 0 |

☺ The "With Children and Adults" column is retired as of 10/1/2019 and replaced with the columns "Adults in HH with Children & Adults" and "Children in HH with Children & Adults".

Q13b1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Exit

| | Total Persons | Without Children | Adults in HH with Children & Adults | Children in HH with Children & Adults | With Children and Adults ☺ | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Mental Health Problem | 219 | 145 | 38 | 11 | -- | 25 | 0 |
| Alcohol Abuse | 28 | 26 | 2 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Drug Abuse | 24 | 16 | 3 | 0 | -- | 5 | 0 |
| Both Alcohol and Drug Abuse | 30 | 27 | 0 | 0 | -- | 3 | 0 |
| Chronic Health Condition | 59 | 40 | 6 | 11 | -- | 2 | 0 |
| HIV/AIDS | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Developmental Disability | 43 | 14 | 4 | 22 | -- | 3 | 0 |
| Physical Disability | 169 | 121 | 21 | 24 | -- | 3 | 0 |

☺ The "With Children and Adults" column is retired as of 10/1/2019 and replaced with the columns "Adults in HH with Children & Adults" and "Children in HH with Children & Adults".

Q13c1: Physical and Mental Health Conditions for Stayers

| | Total Persons | Without Children | Adults in HH with Children & Adults | Children in HH with Children & Adults | With Children and Adults ☺ | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Mental Health Problem | 13 | 11 | 1 | 1 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Alcohol Abuse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Drug Abuse | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Both Alcohol and Drug Abuse | 5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Chronic Health Condition | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| HIV/AIDS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Developmental Disability | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 |
| Physical Disability | 13 | 8 | 2 | 3 | -- | 0 | 0 |

☺ The "With Children and Adults" column is retired as of 10/1/2019 and replaced with the columns "Adults in HH with Children & Adults" and "Children in HH with Children & Adults".

Q14a: Domestic Violence History

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|------------------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Yes | 279 | 137 | 132 | 10 | 0 |
| No | 518 | 387 | 105 | 26 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 797 | 524 | 237 | 36 | 0 |

Q14b: Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|------------------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Yes | 211 | 112 | 99 | 0 | 0 |
| No | 65 | 23 | 32 | 10 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 279 | 137 | 132 | 10 | 0 |

Q15: Living Situation

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Homeless Situations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher | 107 | 75 | 28 | 4 | 0 |
| Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth) | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Place not meant for habitation | 148 | 133 | 14 | 1 | 0 |
| Safe Haven | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Host Home (non-crisis) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Interim Housing ☞ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 284 | 237 | 42 | 5 | 0 |
| Institutional Settings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility | 12 | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility | 8 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Foster care home or foster care group home | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Long-term care facility or nursing home | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 26 | 22 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| Other Locations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Permanent housing (other than RRH) for formerly homeless persons | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy | 29 | 19 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with RRH or equivalent subsidy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with HCV voucher (tenant or project based) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client in a public housing unit | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy | 82 | 36 | 46 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with VASH subsidy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client with GPD TIP subsidy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with other housing subsidy (including RRH) | 20 | 9 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher | 21 | 7 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Staying or living in a friend's room, apartment or house | 147 | 103 | 42 | 2 | 0 |
| Staying or living in a family member's room, apartment or house | 179 | 85 | 68 | 26 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 487 | 265 | 194 | 28 | 0 |
| Total | 797 | 524 | 237 | 36 | 0 |

☞ Interim housing is retired as of 10/1/2019.

Q16: Cash Income - Ranges

| | Income at Start | Income at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers | Income at Exit for Leavers |
|---|-----------------|--|----------------------------|
| No income | 437 | 0 | 402 |
| \$1 - \$150 | 22 | 0 | 20 |
| \$151 - \$250 | 6 | 0 | 5 |
| \$251 - \$500 | 30 | 0 | 31 |
| \$501 - \$1000 | 171 | 0 | 161 |
| \$1,001 - \$1,500 | 47 | 0 | 46 |
| \$1,501 - \$2,000 | 28 | 0 | 27 |
| \$2,001+ | 14 | 0 | 14 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment | 0 | 49 | 0 |
| Number of Adult Stayers Without Required Annual Assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Adults | 761 | 49 | 712 |

Q17: Cash Income - Sources

| | Income at Start | Income at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers | Income at Exit for Leavers |
|--|-----------------|--|----------------------------|
| Earned Income | 75 | 0 | 75 |
| Unemployment Insurance | 4 | 0 | 3 |
| SSI | 141 | 0 | 135 |
| SSDI | 74 | 0 | 69 |
| VA Service-Connected Disability Compensation | 7 | 0 | 8 |
| VA Non-Service Connected Disability Pension | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Private Disability Insurance | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Worker's Compensation | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TANF or Equivalent | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| General Assistance | 10 | 0 | 8 |
| Retirement (Social Security) | 11 | 0 | 9 |
| Pension from Former Job | 6 | 0 | 5 |
| Child Support | 25 | 0 | 23 |
| Alimony (Spousal Support) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other Source | 15 | 0 | 13 |
| Adults with Income Information at Start and Annual Assessment/Exit | 0 | 0 | 21 |

Q19b: Disabling Conditions and Income for Adults at Exit

| | AO: Adult with Disabling Condition | AO: Adult without Disabling Condition | AO: Total Adults | AO: % with Disabling Condition by Source | AC: Adult with Disabling Condition | AC: Adult without Disabling Condition | AC: Total Adults | AC: % with Disabling Condition by Source | UK: Adult with Disabling Condition | UK: Adult without Disabling Condition | UK: Total Adults | UK: % with Disabling Condition by Source |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|--|
| Earned Income | 15 | 22 | 37 | 40.30 % | 10 | 27 | 37 | 27.35 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Supplemental Security Income (SSI) | 84 | 27 | 111 | 75.84 % | 14 | 11 | 25 | 56.00 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI) | 57 | 9 | 66 | 86.18 % | 2 | 1 | 3 | 66.67 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| VA Service-Connected Disability Compensation | 3 | 2 | 5 | 60.00 % | 2 | 1 | 3 | 66.67 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Private Disability Insurance | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Worker's Compensation | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 1 | 2 | 3 | 33.33 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Retirement Income from Social Security | 6 | 1 | 7 | 85.71 % | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100.00 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Pension or retirement income from a former job | 4 | 1 | 5 | 80.00 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Child Support | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100.00 % | 7 | 14 | 21 | 33.57 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Other source | 7 | 4 | 11 | 63.64 % | 6 | 9 | 15 | 39.93 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| No Sources | 135 | 127 | 262 | 51.34 % | 29 | 98 | 127 | 22.92 % | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- |
| Unduplicated Total Adults | 286 | 185 | 471 | | 64 | 155 | 219 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Q20a: Type of Non-Cash Benefit Sources

| | Benefit at Start | Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers | Benefit at Exit for Leavers |
|---|------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program | 423 | 0 | 392 |
| WIC | 36 | 0 | 31 |
| TANF Child Care Services | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| TANF Transportation Services | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other TANF-Funded Services | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Other Source | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Q21: Health Insurance

| | At Start | At Annual Assessment for Stayers | At Exit for Leavers |
|---|----------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Medicaid | 1124 | 0 | 1058 |
| Medicare | 104 | 0 | 98 |
| State Children's Health Insurance Program | 24 | 0 | 13 |
| VA Medical Services | 18 | 0 | 18 |
| Employer Provided Health Insurance | 14 | 0 | 13 |
| Health Insurance Through COBRA | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Private Pay Health Insurance | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| State Health Insurance for Adults | 9 | 0 | 8 |
| Indian Health Services Program | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Other | 19 | 0 | 19 |
| No Health Insurance | 120 | 0 | 107 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 5 | 0 | 3 |
| Number of Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment | 0 | 87 | 0 |
| 1 Source of Health Insurance | 1122 | 0 | 1055 |
| More than 1 Source of Health Insurance | 107 | 0 | 98 |

Q22a2: Length of Participation – ESG Projects

| | Total | Leavers | Stayers |
|--------------------------------|-------|---------|---------|
| 0 to 7 days | 555 | 551 | 4 |
| 8 to 14 days | 139 | 127 | 12 |
| 15 to 21 days | 93 | 83 | 10 |
| 22 to 30 days | 138 | 128 | 10 |
| 31 to 60 days | 290 | 248 | 42 |
| 61 to 90 days | 85 | 77 | 8 |
| 91 to 180 days | 20 | 19 | 1 |
| 181 to 365 days | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 731 to 1,095 days (2-3 Yrs) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,096 to 1,460 days (3-4 Yrs) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,461 to 1,825 days (4-5 Yrs) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| More than 1,825 days (> 5 Yrs) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1320 | 1233 | 87 |

Q22c: Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| 7 days or less | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 to 14 days | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 to 21 days | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 22 to 30 days | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 to 60 days | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 to 180 days | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 181 to 365 days | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (persons moved into housing) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Average length of time to housing | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Persons who were exited without move-in | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total persons | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Q22d: Length of Participation by Household Type

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|--------------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| 7 days or less | 555 | 351 | 184 | 20 | 0 |
| 8 to 14 days | 139 | 68 | 64 | 7 | 0 |
| 15 to 21 days | 93 | 23 | 58 | 12 | 0 |
| 22 to 30 days | 138 | 27 | 111 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 to 60 days | 290 | 38 | 252 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 to 90 days | 85 | 14 | 71 | 0 | 0 |
| 91 to 180 days | 20 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| 181 to 365 days | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 731 to 1,095 days (2-3 Yrs) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,096 to 1,460 days (3-4 Yrs) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1,461 to 1,825 days (4-5 Yrs) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| More than 1,825 days (> 5 Yrs) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1320 | 524 | 757 | 39 | 0 |

Q22e: Length of Time Prior to Housing - based on 3.917 Date Homelessness Started

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|------------------------------------|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| 7 days or less | 401 | 126 | 244 | 31 | 0 |
| 8 to 14 days | 35 | 12 | 22 | 1 | 0 |
| 15 to 21 days | 19 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 0 |
| 22 to 30 days | 32 | 13 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| 31 to 60 days | 86 | 36 | 50 | 0 | 0 |
| 61 to 180 days | 193 | 84 | 109 | 0 | 0 |
| 181 to 365 days | 75 | 38 | 32 | 5 | 0 |
| 366 to 730 days (1-2 Yrs) | 97 | 46 | 50 | 1 | 0 |
| 731 days or more | 109 | 88 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| Total (persons moved into housing) | 1047 | 452 | 556 | 39 | 0 |
| Not yet moved into housing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data not collected | 150 | 45 | 105 | 0 | 0 |
| Total persons | 1197 | 497 | 661 | 39 | 0 |

Q23c: Exit Destination – All persons

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---|---------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Permanent Destinations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Owned by client, no ongoing housing subsidy | 18 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Owned by client, with ongoing housing subsidy | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, no ongoing housing subsidy | 267 | 47 | 216 | 4 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with VASH housing subsidy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with other ongoing housing subsidy | 73 | 11 | 62 | 0 | 0 |
| Permanent housing (other than RRH) for formerly homeless persons | 19 | 4 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Staying or living with family, permanent tenure | 338 | 64 | 243 | 31 | 0 |
| Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure | 48 | 31 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with RRH or equivalent subsidy | 40 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client, with HCV voucher (tenant or project based) | 7 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Rental by client in a public housing unit | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 820 | 169 | 616 | 35 | 0 |
| Temporary Destinations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Emergency shelter, including hotel or motel paid for with emergency shelter voucher | 28 | 16 | 11 | 1 | 0 |
| Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Transitional housing for homeless persons (including homeless youth) | 12 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0 |
| Staying or living with family, temporary tenure (e.g. room, apartment or house) | 71 | 44 | 26 | 1 | 0 |
| Staying or living with friends, temporary tenure (e.g. room, apartment or house) | 272 | 241 | 31 | 0 | 0 |
| Place not meant for habitation (e.g., a vehicle, an abandoned building, bus/train/subway station/airport or anywhere outside) | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Safe Haven | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel or motel paid for without emergency shelter voucher | 20 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Host Home (non-crisis) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 406 | 314 | 89 | 3 | 0 |
| Institutional Settings | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Foster care home or group foster care home | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Long-term care facility or nursing home | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 7 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Other Destinations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Deceased | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected (no exit interview completed) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1233 | 489 | 705 | 39 | 0 |
| Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations | 697 | 142 | 520 | 35 | 0 |
| Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation | 6 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Percentage | 56.81 % | 29.34 % | 73.76 % | 92.11 % | -- |

Q24: Homelessness Prevention Housing Assessment at Exit

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|---|-------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|
| Able to maintain the housing they had at project start--Without a subsidy | 73 | 18 | 55 | 0 | 0 |
| Able to maintain the housing they had at project start--With the subsidy they had at project start | 22 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| Able to maintain the housing they had at project start--With an on-going subsidy acquired since project start | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Able to maintain the housing they had at project start--Only with financial assistance other than a subsidy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Moved to new housing unit--With on-going subsidy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Moved to new housing unit--Without an on-going subsidy | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Moved in with family/friends on a temporary basis | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Moved in with family/friends on a permanent basis | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Moved to a transitional or temporary housing facility or program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Client became homeless – moving to a shelter or other place unfit for human habitation | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Client went to jail/prison | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Client died | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Client doesn't know/Client refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data not collected (no exit interview completed) | 26 | 4 | 22 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 123 | 27 | 96 | 0 | 0 |

Q25a: Number of Veterans

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | Unknown Household Type |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Chronically Homeless Veteran | 14 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| Non-Chronically Homeless Veteran | 32 | 26 | 6 | 0 |
| Not a Veteran | 533 | 385 | 148 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 182 | 99 | 83 | 0 |
| Total | 761 | 524 | 237 | 0 |

Q26b: Number of Chronically Homeless Persons by Household

| | Total | Without Children | With Children and Adults | With Only Children | Unknown Household Type |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Chronically Homeless | 193 | 118 | 74 | 1 | 0 |
| Not Chronically Homeless | 1127 | 406 | 683 | 38 | 0 |
| Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Data Not Collected | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1320 | 524 | 757 | 39 | 0 |
